Occurrence of ‘Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris’ in citrus showing Huanglongbing symptoms in Mexico
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ABSTRACT

Huanglongbing (HLB), one of the most devastating citrus diseases in the world, was detected in Mexico in 2009. Currently, HLB is associated with the bacteria Candidatus Liberibacter spp., although several phytoplasms have been found from trees showing HLB-like symptoms in Brazil and China. The aim of this study was thus to determine if, in addition to ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ (CLas), phytoplasma species are also associated with HLB-like symptoms in citrus groves of Mexico. Citrus plants exhibiting symptoms such as diffuse chlorosis, blotchy mottle and vein yellowing were collected in the Mexican States of Nayarit, Colima and Sinaloa between August 2011 and September 2012. Samples were then evaluated for phytoplasmas and CLas by PCR, using primers that respectively target the genes for the 16S ribosomal RNA and 50S ribosomal protein of the β operon (rplA-rplJ). Out of 86 HLB-symptomatic citrus plants, 54 were positive for CLas, 20 were positive for phytoplasmas, 7 were found in mixed infections with both pathogens and 19 samples were negative for CLas and phytoplasmas. Actual and virtual RFLP analyses of the 16S rDNA sequences enabled us to classify two HLB phytoplasma strains as members of the aster yellows group (16SrI-B) ‘Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris’, which was confirmed by phylogenetic analysis. The HLB phytoplasma strain identified from Nayarit (HLBpc-Nay-1B) belongs to subgroup B (16SrI-B), and the strains identified from Colima (HLBpc-Col-1S) and Sinaloa (HLBpc-Sin-1S) belong to subgroup 5 (16SrI-5). The partial ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ rplA-rplJ gene sequences were 100% identical to the ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ strains isolated from several countries affected by HLB. These results confirm the association of Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris’ with HLB-like symptoms in citrus groves in Mexico. Nonetheless, further studies are required to fully describe the ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. P. asteris’ interactions in citrus, which will greatly assist the design of efficient management strategies.

1. Introduction

Citrus trees are one of the most important fruit trees cultivated in Mexico. The national production in 2012 was 6.68 million metric tons, with a value of US$965 million (SAGARPA, 2012). In 2009, Huanglongbing (HLB) disease, one of the most destructive citrus diseases in the world, was first detected in the Mexican States of Yucatán (in citrus residential trees) and Nayarit (in commercial orchards). Currently, HLB is present in 15 of Mexico’s 23 citrus producing States (SAGARPA, 2013). HLB has been associated with ‘Candidatus Liberibacter spp.’, a group of gram-negative, phloem-limited α-proteobacteria given provisional Candidatus status (Jagoueix et al., 1994). Based on their 16S rDNA sequences, three species of ‘Ca. Liberibacter’ have been identified from trees with HLB disease: ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. L. americanus’ (both transmitted by the Asian citrus psyllid Diaphorina citri Kuwayama), and ‘Ca. L. africanus’, transmitted by the psyllid Triozia erytreae (Bové, 2006; Gottwald, 2010). Intriguingly, the examination of other possible HLB incidents around the world has led to the identification of an additional putative etiological agent. In Sao Paulo State (SPS), Brazil, the disease was only associated with ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. L. americanus’ from 2004 until 2007.
However, HLB-affected orange trees with characteristic blotchy mottled leaves and symptomatic fruits that were negative for the presence of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ were identified in 2007, and a new phytoplasma disease agent was identified from these symptomatic HLB samples. This phytoplasma is closely related to the pigeon pea witches’-broom phytoplasma, based on its 16S rDNA sequence (Teixeira et al., 2008). Furthermore, the 16SrI group ‘Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris’ has been reported in several leaf citrus samples (mandarin, sweet orange, and pomelo) with typical HLB yellowing/mottling symptoms from southern China (Chen et al., 2009). Recently a phytoplasma of the subgroup 16SrIa* was characterized in a Huanglongbing-infected grapefruit (Citrus paradisi) orchard, in Guangxi Province, China (Lou et al., 2014).

Phytoplasmas are plant pathogens that primarily inhabit the phloem sieve-tube, and which are transmitted and naturally disseminated by insect vectors from the Cicadelloidea (leafhoppers) and Fulgoroidea (planthoppers) families (Gasparich, 2010). These plant pathogens are associated with more than 700 diseases in several hundred of plant species, and their broad plant host range depends on the plant feeding range of their insect vectors (Lee et al., 1998b; Namba, 2011). The phytoplasmas that cause many fruit tree diseases are primarily transmitted by insects and grafting (Heinrich et al., 2001). They are obligate symbiont of plants and insects, and in most cases require both the plant and insect hosts for their dispersal in nature. The titer of phytoplasma cells in the phloem of infected plants varies by season and plant species, and it is often very low in woody hosts, presenting a major obstacle to the diagnosis of these phytopathogens (Marzachi, 2004). The diagnosis of Huanglongbing disease is based on symptoms such as foliar blotchy mottle (Bové, 2006), as well as the use of DNA hybridization (Villechanoux et al., 1992) and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Jagoueix et al., 1996; Hocquellet et al., 1999; Teixeira et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2010). These molecular techniques make it possible to accurately and rapidly diagnose Ca. Liberibacter spp., whereas the differentiation and classification of several hundred phytoplasma strains necessitates distinct 16S rRNA gene restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) patterns resolved by actual and/or virtual analysis (Lee et al., 1998a; Wei et al., 2008).

Given this background, the main objective of the present study was to determine if, in addition to ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’, phytoplasma species are associated with similar HLB leaf symptoms in Mexico.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Plant material and phytoplasma reference strain

Leaf samples displaying characteristic blotchy mottle symptoms (Fig. 1) were collected from citrus orchards in the Mexican States of Nayarit, Colima and Sinaloa between August 2011 and September 2012. Citrus plant species included Mexican lime (Citrus aurantifolia, Christm., Swingle), Persian lime (Citrus latifolia, Tanaka), and Valencia sweet orange (Citrus sinensis, (L.) Osbeck). The samples were shipped to the Molecular Biology Research Laboratory at the CIIDIR-IPN, Sinaloa Unit. Upon arrival, samples were stored at 4°C and processed within 48–72 h. Leaf midribs were lyophilized (lyophilizer, Labconco, Kansas City, MO). Total genomic DNA from a Mexican phytoplasma strain representative of group 16SrI, subgroup 16SrI-S, Potato purple top BC15 (PPT-BC15-IS, GenBank accession number FJ914638) was used as the phytoplasma reference control (Santos-Cervantes et al., 2010).
2.2. DNA extraction

The collected citrus samples were next examined by molecular analyses. Total nucleic acids were extracted from lyophilized leaf midribs, using a previously described CTAB protocol (Zhang et al., 1998) with minor modifications. Twenty milligrams of lyophilized leaf midribs were transferred to a 1.5-mL tube and ground in 800 µL of preheated (60 °C) CTAB extraction buffer (3% CTAB, 1.4 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.2% mercapto-ethanol), followed by incubation at 60 °C for 30 min. Samples were extracted with chloroform-isooamyl alcohol (24:1). Four microliters of RNase A (100 mg/mL) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min (Hocquellet et al., 1999).

DNA concentration was adjusted to 20 ng/µL. DNA was digested with chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1). Four microliters of RNase A (100 mg/mL) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min (Hocquellet et al., 1999). The aqueous DNA layer was precipitated with 600 µL of cold isopropanol. DNA pellets were then washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and suspended in 30 µL of sterile twice-distilled water. The quantity and purity of DNA samples were assessed measuring OD 260 nm and OD 260 nm/280 nm, respectively, using the NanoDrop ND-1000 UV–Vis Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). DNA concentration was adjusted to 20 ng/µL and kept at −20 °C for further use.

2.3. Phytoplasma and ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ detection by PCR

Phytoplasmas were detected using two “universal” phytoplasma nested primer pairs (R16mF2/R16mR1 in the first round and R16F2n/R16R2 for the nested PCR reaction) that amplify an approximately 1250 bp portion of the 16S rDNA (Gundersen and Lee, 1996), as previously described (Santos-Cervantes et al., 2008).

A 703 bp fragment was PCR amplified from the ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ 505 ribosomal protein gene of the β operon (rplA-rplF) with the following program: 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s (one cycle of initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min), with annealing at 62 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min (Hocquellet et al., 1999).

PCR amplification of the two pathogens was conducted in an automatic thermocycler (C1000 Thermal Cycler, BioRad, USA), in a final volume of 25 µL containing: one unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Brazil); 200 mM of each dNTP; 0.4 pmol/µL of each primer; and 100 ng of total DNA. Sample DNA was replaced by deionized sterile water for a PCR negative control. PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining (2 mg/µL) under a UV transilluminator.

2.4. Actual RFLP analysis of detected phytoplasmas

R16F2n/R16R2 PCR phytoplasma fragments from representative citrus samples were digested with AluI, HhaI, MseI, HaeIII, RsaI, and TaqI restriction endonucleases according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen Life Technologies, USA). The digestion products were then fractionated by gel-based capillary electrophoresis, using a high-resolution gel cartridge kit on a QIAxcel system (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). A 72–1353 bp φX174 DNA-HaeIII digest Marker (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) was included in QIAxcel runs and the size of the products was determined using the QIAxcel Screen Gel software. The QX DNA Alignment Marker, consisting of 15-bp and 3000-bp bands, was automatically injected by the QIAxcel system onto the cartridge along with each sample, allowing the software to align the lanes. The OLS005 method was used to analyze the digestion products (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The obtained RFLP patterns were compared to electrophoretic patterns of known phytoplasmas previously described by Lee et al. (1998a), as well as to a phytoplasma reference strain (Santos-Cervantes et al., 2010).

2.5. Cloning of PCR products and DNA sequencing

Both Phytoplasma and ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ PCR products (comprising representative samples from different States) were purified using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega Corporation, USA). Purified fragments were ligated into a pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega Corporation, USA) and transformed into JM109 competent cells following the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasmid DNA from recombinant culture colonies was isolated and purified using the Wizard Plus SV Miniprep DNA Purification System (Promega Corporation, USA). Both strands from two different clones of each organism isolate were completely sequenced twice in an ABI PRISM 377 sequencer, using the Dye cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The phytoplasmas and ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ sequences were then deposited in the NCBI GenBank.

2.6. DNA sequence analysis and in silico enzyme digestions

The phytoplasma R16F2n/R2 sequences and the ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ rplA-rplF sequences were compared to the references in the GenBank database, using the BLASTn program (Altschul et al., 1990).

Virtual RFLP analysis was performed on the R16F2n/R2 16Sr DNA sequences from phytoplasma isolates (this study), as well as two phytoplasmas affiliated with the 16SrI-B (Citrus HLB-IB and AV-IB, GenBank accession numbers EU544303 and AY180943, respectively) and 16SrI-S (PPT-BC15-IS, GenBank accession number FJ914638) subgroups, using the virtual gel plotting program pDRAW32 (AcaClone). Each aligned 16S rDNA sequence was digested in silico with 17 restriction enzymes (Alul, BamHI, Bfly, BstUI, DraI, EcoRI, HaeIII, HinfI, HpaI, HpaII, KpnI, Sau3AI, MseI, RsaI, SspI, and TaqI) that have been routinely used for phytoplasma 16S rDNA RFLP analysis (Lee et al., 1998a). The virtual RFLP patterns were compared and a similarity coefficient (F) was calculated for each pair of phytoplasma strains using a Perl program developed by Wei et al. (2008).

2.7. Phylogenetic analysis

The Clustal W method (Thompson et al., 1994) was used to align the R16F2n/R2 16S rDNA sequences from HLB phytoplasma isolates, along with 19 phytoplasmas representing distinct phytoplasma groups or subgroups, and Acholeplasma palmae. The rplA-rplF sequences from ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ were aligned with other ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ strains from GenBank. Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the Neighbor-Joining method, using the MEGA program v. 5.2.2 (Tamura et al., 2011). Bootstrapping with 1000 replicates was performed to estimate the branch stability and support.

3. Results

3.1. Phytoplasma and ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ detection by PCR

Phytoplasmas were detected by nested PCR in symptomatic citrus infected leaves in all three states where samples were collected, in single as well as in mixed infections with ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’. The results for PCR analysis of both pathogens in citrus are summarized in Table 1. Out of 86 HLB-symptomatic citrus plants, 54 were positive for CLas, 20 were positive for phytoplasmas, 7 were found in mixed infections with both pathogens and 19 samples were negative for CLas and phytoplasmas.

3.2. Actual RFLP analysis of detected phytoplasmas

To identify HLB phytoplasma isolates detected in citrus samples, two R16F2n/R16R2 amplicons from each State were digested with
The phytoplasma classifies belong to the aster yellows group (16SrI) patterns indicate that three HLB phytoplasma isolates from citrus
strains (16SrI-B and 16SrI-S), both of which belong to the aster yellows group (16SrI-B) according to the classification scheme
of Lee et al. (1998a); the HLB phytoplasma isolates from Colima and Sinaloa (GenBank accession numbers AB858472 and
AB858474, respectively) shared the highest identity (99%) with Mexican potato purple top phytoplasma (PPT-BC15-IS
strain; GenBank accession number EU544303; Max Score: 2278). A 1246-bp sequence in two phytoplasma strains revealed three
(RhY strain) and four (Chinese citrus HLB-IB strain) mismatches in comparison to an HLB phytoplasma isolate from Nayarit.
The R16F2n/R2 sequences of the HLB phytoplasma isolates from Colima and Sinaloa (GenBank accession numbers AB858473 and
AB858474, respectively) shared the highest identity (99%) with Mexican potato purple top phytoplasma (PPT-BC15-IS strain;
GenBank accession number FJ914638; Max Scores: 2285 and 2290, respectively) and the Chinese citrus HLB-IB strain (Max Scores:
2252 and 2263, respectively). In comparison to the PPT-BC15-IS strain, three mismatches were found in HLB phytoplasma isolates
from Colima, whereas seven were found in isolates from Sinaloa. As for the Chinese citrus HLB-IB strain, nine mismatches were found in
HLB phytoplasma isolates from Colima, whereas seven were found in isolates from Sinaloa.

The HLB phytoplasma isolates detected in citrus samples were classified as strains of ‘Ca. P. asteris’, and were designated as HBpc-
Nay-IB, HBpc-Col-1S, and HBpc-Sin-1S (Table 2). The rplA-rplJ sequences of ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ isolates from Nayarit, Colima and
Sinaloa (GenBank accession numbers AB859774, AB859772, AB859773, respectively) showed 100% sequence identity
with ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ isolates identified from China, USA, Indonesia, Japan, India and Ethiopia (GenBank accession numbers
CP004005, CP001677, AB490691, AB490292, GU074017, and GQ890156, respectively).

The isolates of Candidatus Liberibacter detected in citrus samples were classified as strains of ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’, and were designated as
HLB-Blas-Nay, HLB-Blas Col, and HLB-Blas-Sin.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States</th>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>PCR resulta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clas + CP +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nayarit</td>
<td>Mexican lime</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persian lime</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colima</td>
<td>Mexican lime</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinaloa</td>
<td>Mexican lime</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valencia</td>
<td>sweet orange</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Each sample was collected from individual tree.

### 3.3. Nucleotide sequence analysis and in silico enzyme digestions

Fig. 2. QIAxcel graphical display of RFLP profiles of R16F2n/R16R2 amplicons from HLB phytoplasma isolates and a phytoplasma reference strain (PPT-BC15-IS). Six restriction enzymes were used for the different digestions: Alul, HaeIII, HhaI, Msel, Rsal and TaqI. MW, qX174DNA-HaeIII digestion (New England Biolabs, Beverley, MA).
Virtual RFLP analysis of 16S rRNA sequences was used to assign HLB phytoplasma strains to subgroups (Wei et al., 2008). The results reveal that the HLBpc-Nay-IB strain detected in Mexican lime has a pattern type identical to the reference pattern of the 16SrI group I 'Ca. P. asteris', subgroup B (AY-IB phytoplasma strain, GenBank accession number AY180943). The similarity coefficient of 1.00 indicates that HLBpc-Nay-IB belongs to the 16SrI-B subgroup (Fig. 3, Table 2). On the other hand, the HLBpc-Sin-IS strain detected in Valencia sweet orange has a pattern type identical to the PPT-BC15-IS phytoplasma strain belonging to subgroup S from 'Ca. P. asteris'. Its similarity coefficient of 1.00 therefore assigns HLBpc-Sin-IS to the 16SrI-S subgroup (Fig. 3, Table 2). The HLBpc-Col-IS strain detected in Mexican lime has a pattern type similar to the PPT-BC15-IS phytoplasma strain, whereas the MseI virtual digestion pattern was different (similarity coefficient = 0.98). This strain thus belongs to the 16SrI-S subgroup, following the criteria of Wei et al. (2008).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial no.</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Subgroup (GenBank accession)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AY-WB</td>
<td>16SrI-A (AY389828)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AY-IB</td>
<td>16SrI-B (AY180943)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CPf</td>
<td>16SrI-C (AF222065)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PaWB</td>
<td>16SrI-D (AY265206)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BBS3</td>
<td>16SrI-E (AY265213)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ACLR-AY</td>
<td>16SrI-F (AY265211)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PPT-BC15-IS</td>
<td>16SrI-S (FJ914638)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Citrus HLB-IB</td>
<td>16SrI (EU544303)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>HLB-Nay-IB</td>
<td>16SrI-B (AB858472)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HLB-Col-IS</td>
<td>16SrI-S (AB858473)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>HLB-Sin-IS</td>
<td>16SrI-S (AB858474)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The sequences obtained in our study are shown in bold.

3.4. Phylogenetic analysis

The R16F2n/R2 sequences of three phytoplasma strains associated with HLB were compared with 19 phytoplasma strains representing distinct phytoplasma groups or subgroups, in addition to A. palmae, yielding the consensus tree illustrated in Fig. 4. This tree indicates that all phytoplasma strains belonging to ‘Ca. P. asteris’ congregate together to form a discrete clade including HLB phytoplasma strains isolated from citrus samples (HLBpc-Nay-IB, HLBpc-Col-IS, and HLBpc-Sin-IS). The HLBpc-Col-IS and HLBpc-Sin-IS strains clustered in the same phylogenetic branch as the PPT-BC15-IS phytoplasma strain (confirmed by virtual RFLP analysis). According to this analysis, HLBpc-Nay-IB is most closely related to the aster yellows phytoplasma (AY-IB strain, GenBank accession number AY180943) and Chinese Huanglongbing disease-associated phytoplasma (Chinese citrus HLB-IB strain, GenBank accession number EU544303).

Fig. 3. Virtual restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) patterns performed on the R16F2n/R2 16S rDNA sequences from phytoplasma isolates (this study), as well as two phytoplasmas affiliated with the 16SrI-B (Citrus HLB-IB and AY-IB, GenBank accession numbers EU544303 and AY180943, respectively) and 16SrI-S (PPT-BC15-IS, GenBank accession number FJ914638). Six restriction enzymes were used for the different digestions: AluI, HaelIII, HhaI, MseI, RsaI, and TaqI. MW, φX174DNA-HaeIII digestion. Virtual RFLP patterns were generated using the gel plotting program pDRAW32.
The Candidatus Liberibacter phylogenetic tree indicates that HLBs-Nay (Nayarit), HLBs-Col (Colima), and HLBs-Sin (Sinaloa) strains cluster in the same branch as ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ strains that have been previously reported from China, USA, Indonesia, Japan, India and Ethiopia. Furthermore, the tree reveals that these ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ strains form an individual subclade (data not shown).

4. Discussion

HLB, one of the most destructive citrus diseases (Bové, 2006; Gottwald, 2010), has now become a major concern to citrus production (Wang and Trivedi, 2013). Until recently, this disease was only associated with the bacteria ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’, ‘Ca. L. africanus’ and ‘Ca. L. americanus’ (Bové, 2006). However, other bacteria have recently been associated with HLB symptoms, and are alternately identified as a phytoplasma strain belonging to ‘Ca. P. phoenicium’ (in Brazil), or ‘Ca. P. asteris’ and ‘Ca. P. aurantifolia’ (in China) (Teixeira et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009; Lou et al., 2014).

Actual and virtual RFLP analyses of the 16S ribosomal region amplified by PCR allowed us to distinguish two HLB phytoplasma (HLBp) strains belonging to the aster yellows group ‘Ca. P. asteris’, subgroups 16SrI-B and 16SrI-S; these subgroups are associated with HLB disease in Mexican citrus orchards. Furthermore, the presence of ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ was confirmed in samples collected from all three citrus regions studied.

Various phytoplasma strains associated with plant diseases have been reported from the aster yellows group ‘Ca. P. asteris’ in Mexico. However, the 16SrI-S phytoplasma subgroup is amply distributed in Mexico and has been found in pepper crop in Sinaloa and Guanajuato States (Santos-Cervantes et al., 2008), and in potato growing areas including Sinaloa, Baja California, Guanajuato, Coahuila, and Jalisco (Santos-Cervantes et al., 2010). Moreover, it has been reported that the 16SrI-B phytoplasma subgroup affects tomato plants in the Baja California peninsula (Holguín-Peña et al., 2007), as well as ornamental plants (Rojas-Martínez et al., 2003).

In our study, ‘Ca. P. asteris’ was detected in 23.3% of citrus plants exhibiting HLB symptoms, and was less than the detected (78.0%) in a two-year study from different locations in China (Chen et al., 2009), but higher than the detected (8%) in a recent study in China (Lou et al., 2014). On the other hand, we identified both ‘Ca. P. asteris’ and ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ in 8.1% of symptomatic leaf samples, in comparison to 48.9% as determined for different locations in China (Chen et al., 2009), while only 3.4% of the citrus samples from the Tabatinga municipality (Brazil) (Teixeira et al., 2008) and 5.7% of the HLB-symptomatic leaf samples from Guangxi Province, China (Lou et al., 2014) were infected with both pathogens. Our results thus do not establish a clear association between the incidence of HLBp strains and citrus displaying HLB symptoms in Mexico. However, despite the low incidence of phytoplasma in citrus samples, the positive phytoplasma samples displayed HLB-like symptoms, as similarly observed for HLB-symptomatic citrus samples from China. Likewise, we were unable to clearly identify symptoms specifically associated with either bacterium alone or together. Even though diffuse chlorosis, blotchy mottle and vein yellowing symptoms were observed in HLB infected citrus in Mexico (Fig. 1), no symptoms were observed in fruits. Interestingly, these HLB-like symptoms have been associated with the progression of disease showing leaf symptoms in Mexican and Persian limes in Mexico (Esquivel-Chávez et al., 2012; Robles-González et al., 2013). The low incidence of phytoplasmas detected by nested PCR could be due to low titers of the pathogen in leaf midribs in woody hosts from symptomatic leaves (Wang and Hiruki, 2001; Bertaccini and Duduk, 2009), irregular distribution in the host (Marcone, 2010), or even because the titer of phytoplasma cells in the phloem of infected plants can vary by season and plant species (Marzachi, 2004). The lack of detection of phytoplasmas in symptomatic hosts is not rare, and it has even been reported in non-woody plants such as carrot, cabbage, onion (Lee et al., 2003) and potato (Santos-Cervantes et al., 2010).

HLB currently afflicts the citrus industries of many countries in Asia, Africa, and America. Due to the disease’s destructive impact,
more controlled experiments are necessary to understand the etiology of HLB, and the interactions between ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. Phytoplasma sp.’ in citrus. Such studies can only improve the design of efficient management strategies.

Phytoplasmas of the aster yellow group ‘Ca. P. asteris’, subgroup 16SrI-B have previously been reported in citrus displaying HLB (yellow shoot disease) symptoms in China (Chen et al., 2009). Our results provide strong evidence for the genetic diversity of phytoplasma strains identified in citrus. However, it is still unknown which insect vectors may be involved in their transmission. Further studies are therefore required to investigate the possible role of leafhoppers as a potential vector of the HLBp strains identified in this study. The leafhopper Scaphytopius marginelineatus was recently reported as a potential vector of a Brazilian HLB-associated phytoplasma, due to the prevalence of this species in orange orchards and its high occurrence with perennial weeds such as Sida rhombifolia, Alternanthera tenella, Panicum maximum and Conmequina sp. (Marques et al., 2012). Since infected weeds can be pathogens and vector reservoirs, examining weeds as alternative host plants of HLB-associated phytoplasmas is also necessary to the design of efficient management strategies.

Whereas only one HLB-associated phytoplasma strain has been reported in Brazil and China, we have provided evidence that two phytoplasma strains are currently present in Mexico, including ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ in citrus orchards. These alarming results reinforce the need to develop innovative management strategies.
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