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Abstract
The Asian citrus psyllid, Diaphorina citri Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae), is currently the most important insect pest affecting citrus worldwide due to its relationship (as insect vector) with Huanglongbing (greening) disease. To determine an alternative tool for D. citri control, this study evaluated the insecticidal activity of ethanolic extract from Annona mucosa Jacq. (Magnoliaceae: Annonaceae) seeds (ESAM), which has the acetogenin roliniastatin-1 as its major compound, against D. citri. ESAM caused high mortality in both 3rd instar nymphs (LC₅₀ = 429.43, 247.95, 148.16, 96.89, and 57.76 mg/L after 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 h of exposure, respectively) and adults (LC₅₀ = 5,359.00, 2,464.00, 1,507.00, and 795.51 mg/L after 48, 72, 96, and 120 h of exposure, respectively), showing higher effectiveness than Azamax® 1.2 EC (azadiractin + 3-tigloylazadirachtol, positive control) at the recommended concentration, which showed insecticidal effects only on nymphs. At a sublethal concentration (LC₁₀), ESAM caused significant reductions in feeding and oviposition of D. citri adults. However, the adult emergence of the ectoparasitoid Tamarixia radiata (Waterston) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) was reduced when exposed (by contact) to ESAM in its larval stage. In a greenhouse trial (seedlings cultivated in vases), the insecticidal activity of formulated ESAM was superior to that of Azamax® 1.2 EC, showing a residual effect of approximately 6 d (effectiveness > 80%). The effectiveness of ESAM (> 99%) for D. citri control also was confirmed in a commercial sweet orange farm (field trial). In light of these results, ESAM can constitute a useful component in the framework of D. citri integrated pest management, mainly in domestic orchards and organic systems.
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Resumo
O psilídeo-dos-citros, Diaphorina citri Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae), é o mais importante inseto-praga da citricultura mundial na atualidade devido sua relação (inseto vetor) com o huanglongbing (greening). De modo a detectar ferramentas alternativas de manejo, foi avaliada, primeiramente, a atividade inseticida do extrato etânolico de sementes de Annona mucosa Jacq. (Magnoliaceae: Annonaceae) (ESAM), o qual possui a acetogenina roliniastatin-1 como composto ativo majoritário, sobre D. citri. ESAM causou alta mortalidade de ninhas de 3º instar (CL₅₀ = 429,43; 247,95; 148,16; 96,89 e 57,76 mg/L após 24, 48, 72, 96 e 120 horas de exposição, respectivamente) e de adultos (CL₅₀ = 5,359,00; 2,464,00; 1,507,00 e 795,51 mg/L após 48, 72, 96 e 120 horas de exposição, respectivamente), mostrando uma eficácia superior ao bioinseticida Azamax® 1,2 EC (azadiractina + 3-tigloylazadirachtol, controle positivo) no estágio larval. A eficácia do ESAM (> 99%) no controle de Tamarixia radiata (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), foi reduzida quando em contato com o ESAM no estágio larval. Em um teste em casa de vegetação (mudas cultivadas em vasos), a atividade inseticida do ESAM formulado foi superior ao Azamax® 1,2 EC, com efeito residual de aproximadamente seis dias (eficácia > 80%). A eficácia do ESAM (> 99%) no controle de D. citri foi também confirmada em um cultivo comercial de laranjeira-doce (teste de campo). Diante desses resultados, ESAM pode ser um componente útil para o manejo integrado de D. citri, especialmente em pomares domésticos e sistemas orgânicos.
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Huanglongbing (HLB), also known as citrus greening disease, is currently the main phytosanitary problem of the citrus industry worldwide (Aleman et al. 2007; Grafton-Cardwell et al. 2013). In Brazil (the largest citrus producer worldwide), the disease is caused by Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus and Candidatus Liberibacter americanus (Coletta-Filho et al. 2004; Teixeira et al. 2005). These phloem-limited bacteria are transmitted in a persistent manner by the Asian citrus psyllid, Diaphorina citri Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae) (Hall et al. 2012). Although this insect has occurred naturally within Brazil’s citrus groves for more than 60 yr without causing economic damage (Costa Lima 1942), in 2004, its relationship with HLB transmission was discovered in orchards of São Paulo State (Leal et al. 2010). The disease has since spread rapidly to other citrus producing regions (Belasque Jr et al. 2010), requiring significant multi-institutional research efforts to contain its advance and economic impact.

As all citrus species farmed are HLB susceptible and varieties tolerant to the disease’s expression are still unavailable (Grafton-Cardwell et al. 2013), control of the insect vector is considered essential to reduce...
In this context, the use of botanical derivatives is an important tool in integrated *D. citri* management in organic orchards, a market niche with huge potential for expansion in Brazil (Turra et al. 2014). Although a strategy still poorly exploited and used, studies have demonstrated the potential of botanical derivatives for *D. citri* control, including extracts from *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss (Sapindales: Meliaceae) (Shivankar et al. 2000; Borad et al. 2001; Shivankar et al. 2003; Weathersbee III & McKenzie 2005; Khan et al. 2012), *Vitex negundo* L. (Lamiales: Lamiaceae), and *Acorus calamus* L. (Acorales: Acoraceae) (Shivankar et al. 2000), essential oils of aromatic plants (Mann et al. 2011, 2012), and, more recently, a matrine-based biopesticide (Zanardi et al. 2015). However, it is still necessary to perform studies aiming to detect new sources of botanical insecticides that can be used in managing this pest species, especially plant species with great abundance under neotropical conditions.

In our current research program aiming to detect new sources of insecticides/acaricides, compounds derived from *Annona mucosa* Jacq. (Magnoliales: Annonaceae) were chosen as this plant is observed to cause pronounced lethal and sublethal effects in some pest species of agricultural importance, such as *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Ribeiro et al. 2013), *Panonychus citri* (McGregor) (Prostigmata: Tetranychidae) (Ribeiro et al. 2014c), *Trichoplusia ni* Hübnner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Aphidomorpha: Aphiidae) (Ribeiro et al. 2014a). Based on the promising initial results, biomonitored fractionation was conducted, indicating that the toxicity of this *A. mucosa* derive is due to synergy of structurally diverse acetogenins, the majority being the bis-tetrahydrofuran acetonidogenillinaiastatin-1 (Ribeiro 2014). Despite the potential of derivatives from Annonaceae in arthropod pest management, little is known regarding their action against sucking insects that transmit phytopathogens.

Due to its importance in establishing a natural biological balance, preservation of the control exercised by natural enemies (entomopathogenic phyttopathogens. Insecticides that can be used in managing this pest species, especially species of agricultural importance, such as *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Ribeiro et al. 2013), *Panonychus citri* (McGregor) (Prostigmata: Tetranychidae) (Ribeiro et al. 2014c), *Trichoplusia ni* Hübnner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Aphidomorpha: Aphiidae) (Ribeiro et al. 2014a). Based on the promising initial results, biomonitored fractionation was conducted, indicating that the toxicity of this *A. mucosa* derive is due to synergy of structurally diverse acetogenins, the majority being the bis-tetrahydrofuran acetonidogenillinaiastatin-1 (Ribeiro 2014). Despite the potential of derivatives from Annonaceae in arthropod pest management, little is known regarding their action against sucking insects that transmit phytopathogens.

**Materials and Methods**

**TEST INSECTS**

The *D. citri* (nymphs and adults) and *T. radiata* specimens used in the bioassays were obtained from a population reared in the laboratory under controlled conditions (26 ± 2 °C, 70 ± 10% RH, and 14:10 h L:D photoperiod). Seedlings (approx. 15 cm) of orange jessamine (*Murraya paniculata* [L.] Jacq.: Sapindales: Rutaceae), considered as one of the most suitable host species for *D. citri* (Michaud & Olsen 2004), were used for rearing purpose. For multiplication of *T. radiata*, orange jessamine seedlings were used and were infested with 4th and 5th instar nymphs of *D. citri* (host for the immature stage) and honey to feed the adults as described by Gómez-Torres et al. (2012).

**CRUDE EXTRACT: SOURCE AND PREPARATION**

The *A. mucosa* seeds used to prepare the crude extract were obtained from mature fruit collected on 17 Mar 2011 from specimens grown on the “Luiz de Queiroz” College of Agriculture campus, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil (22°42'28.5"S, 47°37'59.6"W; altitude: 534 m). A voucher specimen, previously identified by Dr. Heimo Rainer (Department of Systematics and Evolution of Higher Plants, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria), was deposited in the ESA herbarium of the Department of Biological Sciences at ESALQ/USP in Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil, under registration number 120985.

To prepare the extracts, the seeds were dried in an oven at 40 °C for 48 to 72 h and subsequently ground in a knife mill. The powder obtained was stored in sealed glass and kept refrigerated (approx. –10 °C) until use. Organic extract was obtained using the ethanol (99.5%) soaking technique (in a 1:5 ratio, w/v) as previously described (Ribeiro 2014).

**BIOASSAYS**

**Laboratory Tests**

All laboratory trials were conducted in a climate-controlled room (26 ± 2 °C, 70 ± 10% RH, and 14:10 h L:D photoperiod) under a completely randomized design.

**Toxicity of the Extract Obtained Compared with a Limonoid-based Bioinsecticide**

To conduct the bioassays, lime (*Citrus limona* L. Osbeck var. ‘Craio’; Sapindales: Rutaceae) seedlings grown in plastic tubes were previously pruned, and after shoots were emitted (2–3 cm long), they were used as experimental units.

**Effect on Nymphs.** The insecticidal action (via residual contact) of the *A. mucosa* ethanolic seed extract (ESAM) on *D. citri* 3rd instars was compared with that of a limonoid-based bioinsecticide (azadirachtin [6,220.15 g/L] + 3-tigloylazadirachtol [2,596.60 mg/L]; Azamax® 1.2 EC, UPL Brasil Ltda., Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil), commercialized in Brazil to manage *D. citri* on citrus (Agrofit 2014). For this goal, ‘Craio’ lime seedlings were sprayed with the treatments via a micro-atomizer (Arpex® model 5A, Mogi das Cruzes, São Paulo, Brazil) coupled to a pneumatic pump adjusted to provide a pressure of 0.5 kgf/cm². For all treatments, the spray volume used was 2 mL of solution per seedling, stipulated based on preliminary tests to obtain complete and uniform coverage (point of runoff).

The concentration used in both treatments (extract and positive control) was 2,500 mg/L, which is recommended for Azamax® 1.2 EC to control *D. citri* in Brazil (Agrofit 2014). Due to its rapid degradation, the limonoid levels (azadirachtin + 3-tigloylazadirachtol) in the formulation was quantified using the analytical method described by Formi et al. (2010) at the moment of product usage. The negative controls consisted of the solvents used to solubilize the extract and the commercial bioinsecticide (acetone: deionized water [1:3, v/v] and deionized water, respectively).
After applying the treatments, the seedlings were kept in a climate-controlled room for 2 h to dry the residue. Next, the seedlings were arranged in cages (2 L) constructed as described by Zanardi et al. (2015). Subsequently, ten 3rd instars were transferred from the rearing stock to each seedling using a fine brush and a stereoscopic microscope. Six replicates were used for each treatment level (n = 60). Nymph mortality was evaluated every 24 h for 5 d using a stereoscopic microscope. Dead nymphs were considered to be those that were dried and did not react to the touch of a fine brush.

**Effect on Adults.** The same procedures and experimental units used in the test with nymphs were employed to evaluate the insecticidal action on *D. citri* adults. However, the concentration of ESAM and commercial bioinsecticide used was 10,000 mg/L (4 times the concentration recommended for Azamax® 1.2 EC in controlling nymphs), defined based on previous tests. After applying the treatments and drying the seedling, each seedling was infested with 10 adults (non-sexed) from the rearing stock aged between 5 and 8 d old. Similar to the previous test, 6 seedlings (replicates) per treatment (n = 60) were used, and the mortality of the exposed insects was evaluated daily for 5 d.

**Concentration–Response Curve**

To estimate LC_{50} and LC_{90} corresponding to the levels necessary to cause 50 and 90% mortality, respectively, in the exposed insect population (separately by stage), preliminary tests were performed to determine the concentrations that caused a 95% insect mortality and a mortality level similar to that obtained in the control. Based on these results, 6 concentrations were established for testing (in intervals: 31.25 – 1,000 mg/L for nymphs and 250 – 10,000 mg/L for adults) based on the procedures described by Finney (1971). The same experimental procedures described for the previous test were adopted for these estimates, and the mortality evaluations were performed daily for 5 d.

**Estimated Mean Lethal Time (LT_{50})**

\[ \text{LT}_{50} \text{(time necessary to kill 50% of the population)} \]

\[ \text{values of ESAM for } D. \text{ citri } \text{nymphs and adults were estimated at different concentrations (125; 250; 500; and 1,000 mg/L [nymphs] and 1,000; 2,000; 3,981; 6,309; and 10,000 mg/L [adults]). For this purpose, the same aforementioned experimental procedures were adopted, and mortality was evaluated daily for 5 d.} \]

**Evaluating Deterrent Effects of ESAM on Oviposition and Feeding**

**Deterred Oviposition.** The deterrent effect of ESAM on *D. citri* adult oviposition was evaluated at the previously estimated LC_{50} (exposure time = 120 h) using a test without opportunity to choose (confine- ment). The same experimental units and procedures afore mentioned were adopted for this bioassay. The acetone:deionized water solution (1:3, v/v) used to solubilize the extract served as the negative control.

After drying the residues, the seedlings were isolated into cages (2 L) and infested with 5 *D. citri* adult couples per seedling, and already fertilized females were selected (expanded abdomen with yellow-orange color; Skelley & Hoy 2004; Wenninger & Hall 2007). Ten plants were used for each treatment, totaling 50 couples per treatment. After 48 h of infestation, the number of eggs oviposited on each plant was counted using a stereoscopic microscope.

**Deterred Feeding.** Discs of leaves from sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* [L.] Osbeck var. ‘Pêra’; Sapindales: Rutaceae) (3.5 cm wide) were submerged in an extract solution (in the LC_{50} previously estimated for *D. citri* adults [exposure time = 120 h]) for 5 s and resuspended in acetone:deionized water (1:3, v/v). After applying the treatment, the discs were kept in a climate-controlled room on a paper towel for 2 h to dry the residue. Next, the discs were placed on Petri dishes (3.5 cm wide) containing solidified agar:deionized water solution (2.5% [w/v]).

In each Petri dish, 10 non-sexed adults aged between 5 and 8 d old were released with 10 replicates per treatment level (n = 100). A filter paper disc was added to the top of each Petri dish. The paper discs were thus kept face down in the Petri dishes to collect the honeydew excreted by the confined insects according to the procedure described by Boina et al. (2009). After 48 h, the filter paper discs were removed and submerged into ninhydrin:acetone solution (1% [v/v]) for 3 min. After 24 h, the discs were then scanned, and the honeydew drop area was estimated using Quant software version 1.0.1 (Vale et al. 2001).

**Effect of ESAM on the Ectoparasitoid *Tamarixia radiata***

Orange jessamine branches infested with 4th and 5th instars of *D. citri* from the rearing stock were placed on buds of orange jessamine seedlings reared in plastic tubes (50 mL) for spontaneous migration of the nymphs. After 24 h (the period necessary for attachment and natural distribution of the nymphs on seedlings), nymphs were counted using a stereoscopic microscope. Next, the seedlings infested with the nymphs were placed into cages (2 L) that were infested with 10 *T. radiata* females for each *D. citri* nymph. The parasitoid females remained in contact with the *D. citri* nymphs for 48 h for the occurrence of parasitism. After this period, the parasitoid females were removed, and the plants containing the nymphs were kept in the respective cages in a climate-controlled room.

Four days after removing the parasitoid, the parasitized *D. citri* nymphs (mummified) were counted and sprayed with the extract at the LC_{50} previously estimated for *D. citri* adults (exposure time = 120 h), adopting the same aforementioned equipment and procedures. Acetone:deionized water (1:3, v/v) was used as a control, and 10 replicates (seedlings) were used for each treatment. Evaluation was performed after 9 d of applying the treatments, counting the number of parasitoids that emerged in each experimental unit.

**GREENHOUSE TEST WITH FORMULATED ESAM (POTTED SEEDLINGS)**

Sweet orange seedlings (approx. 80 cm) kept in pots (10 L) were sprayed with an aqueous emulsion of ESAM containing 5 g/L of Tween 80® (Ribeiro et al. 2014a) until the point of runoff using a Guaranay® sprayer backpack equipped with a constant-flow full cone nozzle (FullJet®). The concentration of the extract used corresponded to the LC_{50} previously estimated for *D. citri* adults (exposure time = 120 h). Azamax® 1.2 EC bioinsecticide was used as a positive control, and the solvents employed to solubilize the formulated extract (methanol:water [1:10, v/v] + Tween 80® [0.5%, v/v]) and commercial bioinsecticide (deionized water) were used as negative controls.

At 3 h (time 0 = period necessary for drying the residues) and 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 d after spraying, leaves from the apical portion of the treated seedlings were covered with acrylic cages (5 × 4 × 2 cm) and infested with 10 non-sexed adults aged between 5 and 8 d old, with 5 replicates per treatment level (n = 50). After this, the infested seedlings were kept in a greenhouse, and after 5 d, the mortality of the adults exposed in each treatment was evaluated.
EFFICACY OF FORMULATED ESAM UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS (COMMERCIAL CITRUS FARM)

The efficacy of the formulated ESAM compared with a limonoid-based bioinsecticide (Azamax® 1.2 EC) was evaluated in a commercial sweet orange orchard (C. sinensis var. ‘Valência’; approximately 4 yr old) with plants grown 4 × 6 m apart, as implemented in Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil (22°42′30″S, 47°38′00″W). In this orchard, no pesticides were applied for 12 mo before the onset of the experiment.

Branches from the apical portion of the plants of the plot were selected randomly and marked. Next, the plants were sprayed, using the same equipment described previously until the point of runoff. The concentration of the formulated ESAM used corresponded to the LC50, previously estimated for D. citri adults (exposure time = 120 h). The bioinsecticide Azamax® 1.2 EC (10,000 mg/L) was used as a positive control, and the solvents employed to solubilize the formulated extract (methanol:water [1:10, v/v] + Tween 80® [0.5%, v/v]) and commercial bioinsecticide (deionized water) were used as negative controls. Five replicates (plants) were used for each treatment.

After applying and drying the residue, selected branches were covered with a cage of voile fine tissue (20 × 15 cm) and infested with 20 non-sexed adults aged between 5 and 8 d old (n = 100). The mortality of the exposed insects was evaluated 5 d after infestation.

DATA ANALYSES

Generalized linear models (GLM) (Nelder & Wedderburn 1972) with quasi-binomial, quasi-Poisson, and Gaussian distributions were used for data analysis of mortality ratios, D. citri egg counts, and honyedow drop area, respectively. In all cases, the goodness of fit was tested using half-normal plots of probabilities with simulated envelope (Hinde & Demétrio 1998). When the treatments differed significantly, multiple comparisons (Tukey test, α = 0.05) were performed using the glht function of the multcomp package, with the multiple comparisons (Tukey test, α = 0.05) were performed using the

regression models (Throne et al. 1995) was used for probit correlated data analysis. The results obtained in the greenhouse test (semi-field) also confirmed the higher efficacy of ESAM compared with the limonoid-based bioinsecticide (Azamax® 1.2 EC) used as a positive control (Fig. 4). Under the same conditions, ESAM exhibited persistence of approx. 6 d (efﬁcacy > 80%), showing a pronounced decrease in its insecticidal action after this period (Fig. 4). In contrast, Azamax® 1.2 EC caused higher adult mortality between 3 and 6 d after application, corroborating its systemic action and demonstrating reduced translocation speed in the citrus plants within the first days after leaf application.

In the test conducted in the Valencia sweet orange orchard, the formulated ESAM, when tested at the LC50 estimated under laboratory conditions (4,463.00 mg/L), exhibited high efﬁcacy (> 90%) at controlling D. citri adults (Table 4). Despite the lower concentration used, the level of activity caused by this extract was signiﬁcantly higher than that of the Azamax® 1.2 EC insecticide used as a positive control, which was tested at a concentration of 10,000 mg/L.

Results

The yield of the obtained extract from the maceration process of A. mucosa seeds in ethanol at a 1:5 (w/v) ratio was 18.79% (g of extract/g of seed powder). Regardless of the D. citri stage (nymphs or adults), the insecticidal action of ESAM was higher than that of the limonoid-based bioinsecticide (Azamax® 1.2 EC) used as a positive control, which was only effective in controlling nymphs (Table 1). ESAM caused complete mortality of exposed insects when tested at concentrations of 2,500 mg/L and 10,000 mg/L, respectively, for nymphs and adults.

Depending on the exposure time, ESAM caused high mortality in D. citri nymphs (LC50 = 429.43, 247.95, 148.16, 96.89, and 57.76 mg/L after 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 h of exposure, respectively; Table 2) and D. citri adults (LC50 = 5,359.00, 2,464.00, 1,507.00, and 795.51 mg/L after 48, 72, 96, and 120 h of exposure, respectively; Table 2). Similarly, the mean lethal time (LT50) estimated was concentration dependent with significantly increased mortality throughout the exposure time (LT50 [nymphs] = 76.41, 41.99, 17.50, and 10.31 h at concentrations of 125, 250, 500, and 1,000 mg/L, respectively; LT50 [adults] = 91.90, 84.35, 47.93, 41.43, and 31.23 h at concentrations of 1,000, 2,000, 3,981, 6,309, and 10,000 mg/L, respectively; Table 3).

Regarding sublethal effects, ESAM (at the LC50 estimated for adults [exposure time = 120 h]) significantly reduced the number of deposited eggs and the feeding of D. citri adults, which indicates that this product has deterrent action on oviposition and feeding (Figs. 1 and 2).

The results obtained in the greenhouse test (semi-field) also confirmed the higher efficacy of ESAM compared with the limonoid-based bioinsecticide (Azamax® 1.2 EC) used as a positive control (Fig. 4). Under the same conditions, ESAM exhibited persistence of approx. 6 d (efﬁcacy > 80%), showing a pronounced decrease in its insecticidal action after this period (Fig. 4). In contrast, Azamax® 1.2 EC caused higher adult mortality between 3 and 6 d after application, corroborating its systemic action and demonstrating reduced translocation speed in the citrus plants within the first days after leaf application.

Table 1. Mortality (mean ± SE) of 3rd instar nymphs and adults of Diaphorina citri exposed to residual ethanolic extract from Annona mucosa seeds (ESAM) or commercial limonoid-based bioinsecticide (Azamax® 1.2 EC, positive control). Note: The treatments were tested at concentrations of 2,500 mg/L and 10,000 mg/L for nymphs and adults, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mortality (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nymphs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAM</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azamax® 1.2 EC</td>
<td>91.47 ± 3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (acetone:water [1:3, v/v])</td>
<td>6.67 ± 3.33 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (water)</td>
<td>5.00 ± 3.41 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>75.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>2, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mortality (%) of Diaphorina citri nymphs and adults exposed to residual ethanolic extract from Annona mucosa seeds (ESAM) or commercial limonoid-based bioinsecticide (Azamax® 1.2 EC, positive control). Note: The treatments were tested at concentrations of 2,500 mg/L and 10,000 mg/L for nymphs and adults, respectively.*

Discussion

Our results, obtained under laboratory, semi-field, and field conditions, indicate promising insecticidal action of ESAM for D. citri, and its efficacy levels were higher than those of a commercial limonoid-based bioinsecticide (Azamax® 1.2 EC, positive control) used to manage this pest species in Brazilian citrus orchards. Corroborating the toxicity of ESAM for sucking insects, our previous study (Ribeiro et al. 2014a) demonstrated that this extract has superior aphidicidal action (against M. persicae) compared with commercial acetonerin-based (Anosom® 1 EC) and pyrethrin-based (Insect Spray®) bioinsecticides in laboratory and semi-field tests, without having any phytotoxic effects on the plant species (cabbage and citrus) used in the study.
In addition to the lethal toxicity of ESAM, our laboratory results also showed that at sublethal levels, it has pronounced deterrent effects on feeding and oviposition, effects that can affect the demography and population dynamics of *D. citri*, a hypothesis to be tested in the field in further studies. Moreover, compounds that inhibit feeding or alter feeding behavior can affect a phytopathogen’s ability to transmit via insect vectors (Halbert & Manjunath 2004) because acquisition of the bacteria associated with HLB by *D. citri* makes salivation and ingestion of the phloem sap necessary (Bonani et al. 2010). The phloem is where the bacteria are found inside citrus plants (Batool et al. 2007). Given the perspective of applying ESAM to citrus orchards, it is important to understand these effects to assess the potential benefits and risks of using this bioinsecticide.

### Table 2. Estimated LC50 and LC90 (in mg/L) and confidence interval of ethanolic extract from *Annona mucosa* seeds (ESAM) for 3rd instar nymphs and adults of *Diaphorina citri* at different exposure times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure time (h)</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Slope ± SE (P value)</th>
<th>LC50 (CI)</th>
<th>LC90 (CI)</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>h.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Nymphs</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.36 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>429.43 (348.34–515.91)</td>
<td>1,133.00 (903.06–1,570.00)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt; 10,000.00</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Nymphs</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.31 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>247.95 (173.57–319.70)</td>
<td>937.60 (706.96–1,444.00)</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.54 (0.0003)</td>
<td>5,359.00 (2,562.00–7,304.00)</td>
<td>21,663.00 (14,710.00–65,942.00)</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Nymphs</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.29 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>148.16 (82.80–210.49)</td>
<td>785.40 (561.78–1,343.00)</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.35 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>2,464.00 (1,281.00–3,503.00)</td>
<td>10,299.00 (7,994.00–14,790.00)</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Nymphs</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.30 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>96.89 (48.15–143.66)</td>
<td>490.06 (258.52–770.67)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.20 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>1,507.00 (1,121.00–1,886.00)</td>
<td>6,732.00 (5,390.00–9,021.00)</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Nymphs</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.29 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>57.76 (23.53–91.98)</td>
<td>344.53 (249.02–543.05)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.19 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>785.51 (507.11–1,083.00)</td>
<td>4,463.00 (3,513.00–6,050.00)</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: Number of insects tested.

b: CI: Confidence interval at 95% error probability.

c: \(\chi^2\): Pearson chi-square value.

d: df: Degrees of freedom.

e: h.: Heterogeneity factor.

### Table 3. Estimated mean lethal time (LT50, in h) and confidence interval of ethanolic extract from *Annona mucosa* seeds (ESAM) for 3rd instar nymphs and adults of *Diaphorina citri* at different levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Slope ± SE (P value)</th>
<th>LT50 (CI)</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>h.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nymphs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.37 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>76.41 (64.87–92.48)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.36 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>41.99 (33.08–49.93)</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.36 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>17.50 (5.87–27.01)</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.69 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>10.31 (2.34–16.92)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.29 ± 0.43 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>91.90 (81.92–105.96)</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.39 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>84.35 (74.85–97.07)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,981</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.34 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>47.93 (40.59–54.92)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,309</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.34</td>
<td>41.43 (34.89–47.43)</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.89 ± 0.44 (&lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>31.23 (26.54–35.44)</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: Number of insects tested.

b: CI: Confidence interval at 95% error probability.

c: \(\chi^2\): Pearson chi-square value.

d: df: Degrees of freedom.

e: h.: Heterogeneity factor.
phagodeterrent compounds in managing phytopathogen-transmitting insect pests, the interference of ESAM in D. citri feeding behavior will be the subject of a future study using the electrical penetration graph technique.

Typically, the bioactivity of ESAM is due to the synergy of compounds from different chemical classes (especially acetogenins, alkaloids, and triglycerides) and/or of different polarities (Ribeiro et al. 2013), where the bis-tetrahydrofuranic acetogenin rolliniastatin-1 is the major active component (Ribeiro 2014). Acetogenins are considered potent complex I inhibitors (NADH: ubiquinone oxidoreductase) of the mitochondrial electron transport system and NADH: oxidase of the plasma membrane, which induces cellular apoptosis (programmed cell death), perhaps as a result of ATP deprivation (Tormo et al. 1999). Lately, acetogenins have attracted much interest due to their promising insecticidal action (Alali et al. 1999; Ribeiro et al. 2013) and repellence/deterrence of feeding and oviposition (Blessing et al. 2010). Studies on the structure–activity relationship have proven that acetogenins with adjacent bis-tetrahydrofuranic rings and 3 hydroxyl groups (e.g., rolliniastatin-1) have more pronounced entomotoxicity compared with acetogenins containing other distributions of functional groups in their structure (He et al. 1997).

Although a deeper evaluation of the possible chronic effects of ESAM on the ectoparasitoid T. radiata is necessary, both under laboratory and field conditions, our results showed a negative impact of the extract on the emergence of this natural enemy. However, Leatemia &
effects on non-target organisms (especially mammals) and their behavior in the environment. In a preliminary approach, González-Coloma et al. (2002) found that cells from the ovary of a mammal (Chinese hamster) were less sensitive (approx. 400 times) to the acetogenin rollinilastatin-1 than cells from Spodoptera frugiperda Smith & Abbot (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (S9), although enzymatic and immunochromatographic studies have revealed high similarity between the enzymes involved in cellular respiration in insects, mammals, and fungi (Lönnen 1998). However, membrane factors dependent on the structure and metabolic capacity of inactivating acetogenins in different groups can provide different levels of sensitivity (Ribeiro 2014), an aspect that should be investigated further.

Based on the results obtained, we conclude that ESAM has promising bioactivity for D. citri and may constitute a useful component for managing this pest species in Brazil and in other citrus producing countries, especially in domestic orchards and organic citrus production systems. Given this perspective, studies on optimizing extraction processes and formulations should be conducted, especially to enable controlled-release nanoformulations that provide increased residual effects of bioinsecticides developed based on A. mucosa seed extract.
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