Huanglongbing (HLB), also known as citrus greening, is currently the most devastating disease impacting citrus production. The disease is associated with three different ‘Candidatus Liberibacter species’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’, which induce similar and overlapping symptoms. When HLB-symptomatic trees are tested, one of the Candidatus Liberibacter is normally detected by conventional or real-time PCR (qPCR). The most widely used assays use primers and probes based on the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene. The 16S rRNA-based assays to detect the three species are species-specific and must be performed sequentially. We describe a single assay that detected all species of ‘Ca. Liberibacter’ at the genus level, providing increased convenience. Recent molecular analyses of ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ and other bacteria suggest that the rpoB gene (encoding the β-subunit of RNA polymerase) provides an alternative target for bacterial identification.

Huanglongbing (HLB) is a destructive citrus disease associated with phloem-limited bacteria of the Candidatus genus Liberibacter. Many more than 100 million citrus trees have been destroyed by the disease throughout the world (10). These bacteria are transmitted by two psyllid vectors, Diaphorina citri and Trioza erytreae. The disease severely affects citrus production in Brazil, China, India, South Africa, and the United States. HLB-affected plants can be visually identified by symptoms that include chlorotic shoots, mottled leaves, and lopsided, small, and miscolored fruit. Symptoms often can be confused with nutrient and/or other disease problems. There are three ‘Candidatus Liberibacter species’ known to be associated with HLB-affected citrus (‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’). ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ has a wide host range in rauaceous species (11). HLB disease management is difficult and expensive, and there is no immediate solution. Asymptomatic infections are common throughout the year, and symptoms are produced only at later stages of the disease. There is also a prolonged latent period before symptoms become apparent in infected plants. In addition, phytoplasmas (group 16SrIX and 16SrI) have been found associated with symptoms that are indistinguishable from those of HLB in Brazil and China, respectively (4,32). The discovery of phytoplasmas associated with symptoms indistinguishable from HLB puts a premium on the value of accurate identification of the unculturable bacterium. In the absence of accurate identification of the pathogen corresponding to a particular disease syndrome, control methods may be misdirected. Identification of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ at both the genus and species levels is also important for regulatory issues, to facilitate the identification of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ in new hosts and insect vectors, to assist in management of HLB-affected trees, and for the development of HLB-free nursery materials.

Serological detection methods currently are not available. A variety of molecular approaches have been used to detect and differentiate ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ DNA probes (dot-blot hybridization) were made from the DNA sequence of the β-operon for detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’ (34). Conventional PCR methods have been used to detect ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’ (13,33). Recently, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using 16S rDNA primers (19–21) and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) using primers for the tufB to the rpoB gene (29) have been used for detection of Liberibacter species.

RNA polymerase (RNAP) is a crucial enzyme in the transcriptional process and is the final checkpoint for regulatory pathways controlling gene expression in all living organisms (2). The majority of the catalytic function of RNAP is carried out by the β subunit, which is encoded by the rpoB gene (14). Among the core bacterial genes, rpoB has emerged as one of the few potential candidates for the molecular identification of bacteria at the subspecies level (1,3). This gene is described as possessing the same key attributes as 16S rDNA, in that it is common to all bacteria and is a mosaic of conserved as well as variable sequence domains (6). The rpoB gene exists as a single copy in bacterial genomes (24), whereas ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ contains three copies of 16S rDNA (9,16). The rpoB DNA region has been utilized in previous development of primers and probes for the detection of this gene (20–22). We report here the design of a single pair of degenerate primers and a hybridization probe corresponding to the rpoB region and their application for the detection of all three citrus ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’, enabling detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter’ at the genus level. In addition, species-specific primers and probes based on the rpoB operon genes were designed and used for detection of the species level in a multiplexed format. Both the genus- and species-specific assays were validated in both SYBR Green I and TaqMan formats, and with both plant and insect extracts that contained the pathogen. These one-step qPCR diagnostic methods are useful for the detection of all species of Liberibacter infecting citrus. In addition, the degenerate genus-specific primers and probe successfully detected ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’, a psyllid-transmitted pathogen associated with disease in tomato, carrot, and potato.
Our first objective was to develop and validate a set of qPCR assays that would identify all ‘Ca. Liberibacter’ associated with citrus HLB and associated psyllid vectors at the level of species. For ease of use, we wanted to design these assays so that they could be used in a single multiplexed assay. Our second objective was to develop and validate a single genus-specific assay for all Liberibacters. These assays are based on the rpoB and rplJ/rplK genes, encoding the β-subunit of RNA polymerase and the L10 and L11 proteins of the 50S ribosome, respectively. Primers and probes developed from the rpoB region of ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ were tested for genus-specific detection of all three citrus associated ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ in a single qPCR assay. In addition, although assays for the detection of individual species of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ from citrus have been described (19), they must be performed sequentially, and a single multiplexed PCR assay for simultaneous and species-specific identification of all three known ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ associated with HLB has not been reported. The rplJ and rplL genes have been used for detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’ in Brazil and China (31,35). ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ was recently associated with diseases of potatoes in the United States (12,25,26), tomatoes in New Zealand (22), and carrot in Europe (28), and the rplJ gene sequence has been used for its identification (22,27). This study reports the first application of the rpoB gene for detection and identification of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ at the level of genus. We also compare the use of the rpoB- and rplJ/rplK-based primers and probes with the use of the current 16S rDNA primers and probes in qPCR for the detection of the various ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’. Lastly, we compared the sensitivities of the three assays (16S rRNA, rpoB, and rplJ/rplK) to provide complete information about the relative utility of the different assays.

Materials and Methods

Isolation of plant and ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ DNA. All isolates were propagated by grafting HLB-positive twigs (green cuttings) collected from PCR-positive citrus and were maintained in greenhouse-grown citrus plants. Grafted plants were periodically watered, fertilized, and monitored for 12 to 15 weeks under 50% shade cloth with 10 to 12 h daylight. Total DNA from HLB isolates was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (19) (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) from the petioles and midribs (100 mg) of infected Citrus sinensis (sweet orange) leaves. Total DNA from PCR-positive Citrus sinensis infected with ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ (from Florida, Japan, Thailand, and Taiwan), ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’ (from Brazil), and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’ (from South Africa) was obtained from the USDA-ARS collections in Beltsville and Fort Detrick, MD. DNA from Florida isolates of ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ was obtained from greenhouse-grown HLB-affected plants at the University of Florida, Citrus Research and Education Center (CREC), Lake Alfred. DNA samples were stored at −20°C. The yield and purity of the DNA samples were estimated by measuring OD260 and OD280, respectively, with a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer ND-1000 (Wilmington, DE). DNA was extracted from ‘Ca. L. solanacearum’ infected potatoes (United States), tomatoes (United States), and carrots (Norway and Sweden) that were maintained at both the USDA-ARS, Yakima Agricultural Research Laboratory, Wapato, WA, and the USDA-ARS-FDWSRU quarantine facility in Fort Detrick, MD, using the CTAB method (27) or DNeasy Plant Mini Kit according to manufacturer specifications.

Isolation of psyllid DNA. Total DNA was isolated from single psyllids that fed on ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ infected citrus plants (previously confirmed by qPCR) and healthy plants using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Single psyllids were ground in phosphate buffer using a micro pestle, and DNA was extracted following the manufacturer’s protocol. There were 20 extractions performed with single psyllids. The extracted DNA samples were stored at −20°C. DNA was used for qPCR for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ using 16S rDNA primer and probe sets (19). Later, the same DNA samples were analyzed with degenerate genus-specific (rpoB) and species-specific (rplJ) primer/probe sets in qPCR assays. Each qPCR assay was repeated with two technical replicates. Total DNA was isolated from potato psyllids (Bactericera cockerelli) maintained at the USDA-ARS, Yakima Agricultural Research Laboratory, Wapato, WA using the CTAB method (27). There were 20 extractions performed with groups of 5 psyl-
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Fig. 1. Degenerate genus-specific primers and probe for amplification of the rpoB gene of Candidatus Liberibacter species. Forward and reverse primer sequences are indicated with uppercase letters, and the probe sequence is indicated with lowercase letters (highlighted with shade and arrow for orientation). LAS, ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’; LAM, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’; and LAF, ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’. R = A,G,Y = C,T, D = A,G,T.
The extracted DNA samples were stored at –20°C. qPCR assays were performed with three technical replicates.

**Design and synthesis of primers and probes.** Partial sequences of the three 'Ca. Liberibacter species' from infected citrus were obtained from GenBank (Accession numbers EU078703, EF122254, EF122255, and U09675). Multiple sequence alignments were performed using Vector NTI Advance 11 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) software. Primers and probes were designed using PrimerQuest software (Integrated DNA Technologies) to detect 'Ca. Liberibacter species' at both the genus and species levels. Degenerate genus-specific (rpoB) and species-specific (rplJ/rplK) primers were synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies Inc., Coralville, IA). The nucleotide sequences of the primers and probes are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The probe for the genus-specific assay was labeled with FAM and BHQ1 dyes at the 5′ and 3′ ends, respectively. Probes for species-specific assays were labeled with TAMRA and BHQ2, Cy5 and BHQ2, FAM and BHQ1 at 5′ and 3′ ends for 'Ca. Liberibacter africanus', 'Ca. Liberibacter americanus', and 'Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus', respectively. 'Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum' specific primers and probe were tested according to Crosslin et al. (5).

**Conventional PCR.** 'Ca. Liberibacter' DNA was amplified by conventional PCR with genus- and species-specific primers based on the rpoB and rplJ/rplK gene regions, respectively, using 'Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus', 'Ca. Liberibacter americanus', and 'Ca. Liberibacter africanus' isolates. The GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI) was used for the conventional PCR assay. The final composition of the PCR mix was 1x GoTaq Green Master Mix (10 µl), forward and reverse primers (250 to 400 nM each), 2 µl DNA template, and nuclease free water to 20 µl. PCR was performed using the following parameters: one cycle at 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s; followed by one cycle at 72°C for 10 min in a thermal cycler (Model S1000 Thermal cycler, BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA). Amplified PCR products were analyzed using 1% agarose gels and stained with GelRed (Biotium, Hayward, CA). The PCR products were resolved on 1% agarose gels and stained with GelRed (Biotium, Hayward, CA).

**Fig. 2.** Species-specific primers and probes for amplification of rplJ/rplK genes of 'Candidatus Liberibacter species' associated with citrus greening disease. Forward and reverse primer sequences are indicated with uppercase letters, and probe sequences are indicated with lowercase letters (highlighted with shade; italics and arrow for orientation). LAS, 'Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus'; LAM, 'Ca. Liberibacter americanus'; and LAF, 'Ca. Liberibacter africanus'.

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)
from different ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). PCR products were then cloned with the pGEM-T Easy Vector System according to manufacturer protocols. Positive clones were sequenced (five clones for each isolate) for confirmation of their identity at the Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research (ICBR), University of Florida, Gainesville. The number of plasmid molecules was calculated (plasmid length in base pairs × 650 D/bp = 6.022 × 10^{23} molecules/mole). Clones confirmed by sequencing were used to determine the sensitivity of the PCR assay. Plasmid DNA dilutions from 4.14 × 10^7 to 10^1, 3.9 × 10^7 to 10^1, 4.55 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’, respectively, were used for genus-specific assay, and plasmid dilutions to 3.27 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’, respectively, were used for species-specific assays. The specificity of primer sets was tested with the three ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ from citrus and against eight endophytic bacteria (Paenibacillus glucanolyticus, Microbacterium sp., Pantoea agglomerans, Pseudomonas sp., Enterobacter cloacae, Rhizobium sp., Agrobacterium tumefaciens, Sinorhizobium sp.) and two citrus canker pathogens (Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri 306 and Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri A^*, provided by N. Wang and P. Trivedi, University of Florida). The genus-specific primer set was also tested against positive plant and psyllid samples that were tested previously with the 16S primers and probe set (19). Extracts of healthy plants and water only (nontemplate control) samples were used as negative controls. A sequence confirmed plasmid DNA sample was used to estimate copy number of ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’.

Simplex and multiplex real-time PCR assay. PCR products were purified and then cloned in the pGEM-T Easy Vector. The concentrations of cloned and sequence confirmed plasmid DNA samples were measured, and target copy numbers were calculated as above. Reaction optimization to standardize conditions was carried out with 10-fold dilutions in sterile distilled water (10^7 to 10^1) utilizing sequence confirmed plasmid DNA samples. Simplex and multiplex PCR assays using both SYBR Green I and TaqMan probes for quantification were completed. Results were compared with the sensitivity of conventional PCR. qPCR assays were performed on an Applied Biosystems 7500 PCR instrument (Life Technologies). The TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies) was used for the qPCR assay. The final concentration of qPCR mix contained 1× TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (10 µl), forward and reverse primers (250 to 800 nM each), probe (150 to 400 nM), 2 µl DNA (120 to 155 ng/µl) template, and nuclease free water to 20 µl. The qPCR assay consisted of 2 min incubation at 50°C followed by 10 min incubation at 95°C and 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Data were analyzed using the Applied Biosystems software Version 1.4.0. Simplex PCR assays were conducted and summarized (Table 1). Three simplex quantitative PCR assays were then combined in multiplex reactions and optimized. For standard curve analysis, there were six technical replicates collected from two biological experiments that had three technical replicates each. Healthy citrus plant material and water (nontemplate control) samples were used as negative control in qPCR assays.

### Table 1. Standard curve obtained in simplex qPCR with SYBR Green I dye and TaqMan probe assays utilizing primers and probes from the rpoB and rplK regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Candidateus Liberibacter species’</th>
<th>SYBR Green I dye</th>
<th>TaqMan</th>
<th>SYBR Green I dye</th>
<th>TaqMan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>R^2</td>
<td>Slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ (LAS)</td>
<td>-3.879x</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>-3.836x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Ca. L. americanus’ (LAM)</td>
<td>+39.47</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>+39.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Ca. L. africanus’ (LAF)</td>
<td>-3.863x</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>-3.987x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+40.17</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>+40.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-3.907x</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>-4.001x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+40.33</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>+40.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a LAS, plasmid DNA diluted from 4.14 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl; LAM, plasmid DNA diluted from 3.9 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl; and LAF, plasmid DNA diluted from 4.26 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl (species specific).
b LAS, plasmid DNA diluted from 3.27 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl; LAM, plasmid DNA diluted from 2.71 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl; and LAF, plasmid DNA diluted from 4.26 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl (species specific).

### Comparison of three primer and probe sets for diagnosis of HLB.

The concentrations of genus- and species-specific primers and probes were optimized with DNA of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ from Brazil, Florida, Japan, Taiwan, Thailand, and South Africa as well as with DNA samples from psyllids that fed on ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ infected plants. Comparisons were made with the 16S rDNA primer and probe sets (19) widely used for the detection of the three citrus ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ All assays employed the qPCR protocol mentioned above. To finally validate the degenerate genus-specific primers and probe, they were tested against ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ in extracts of diseased potato (United States), tomato (United States), and carrot (Norway and Sweden), in addition to extracts of potato psyllids. The qPCR assay primers and probes were validated with the three ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ from citrus and also were tested for specificity against the bacterial endophytes listed above. Comparisons of the 16S rDNA assay with the rpoB and the (rplK/rplK) assays were repeated with two technical replicates using plants known to be HLB positive. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA; Tukey’s HSD) for significance at the 5% level. The hypothesis evaluated by the ANOVA was that one of the sets of primers would provide better sensitivity (lower quantification cycle [Cq]) in the assay.

### Results

**DNA isolation and conventional PCR.** A good yield of total DNA (80.6 to 94.8 ng/µl) was obtained from healthy and infected citrus tissue using the Qiagen DNeasy Plant Mini Kit. Conventional PCR assays were performed with both genus- (rpoB) and species-specific (rplK/rplK) primers. The expected 111-bp PCR amplicon for the genus-specific assay and the 182-bp, 174-bp, and 157-bp amplicons for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’ species-specific assays, respectively, were obtained. The PCR products were purified and then cloned in the pGEM-T Easy Vector. Sequence-confirmed clones were used for assay standardization and sensitivity studies. Amplicons of the expected sizes were produced (Fig. 3). The degenerate genus-specific primers at concentrations of 400 nM each were able to successfully amplify target DNA cloned in plasmids at concentrations of about 4,000 target molecules per µl (4.14 × 10^7, 3.9 × 10^7, and 4.55 × 10^7 molecules/µl for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’ and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’, respectively). Species-specific primer sets at a concentr-
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concentration of 250 nM each were able to specifically detect the DNA of ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ at concentrations of about 40 target molecules per µl (3.27 × 10^1, 2.71 × 10^1, and 4.26 × 10^1 molecules/µl for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’, respectively) (Fig. 3). No nonspecific reactions were observed and no cross reactions were found among the species-specific primers with appropriate plant samples (Fig. 4). No reaction products were produced with DNA samples of the eight bacterial endophytes or with the two citrus canker bacterial isolates. In addition, no products were produced with water (nontemplate) controls (Fig. 4). The degenerate genus-specific primer set produced expected amplicons from ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ positive plant and psyllid samples (Fig. 5). No reaction products were produced with healthy citrus, psyllid, and water (nontemplate control) samples (Fig. 5).

Standard curves with SYBR Green I and TaqMan probes. Simplex qPCR was performed with rpoB primers using SYBR Green I and the TaqMan probe formats. Diluted plasmid DNA (4.14 × 10^7 to 10^1, 3.9 × 10^7 to 10^1, 4.55 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’, respectively) containing target inserts was used to prepare a standard curve. There were no differences in the Cq value for simplex qPCR assays with either SYBR Green I or TaqMan for any of the three Liberibacter species tested (Table 1). Regression analysis was used to calculate standard curves with slopes of −4.000 to −3.836 and R^2 = 0.998–0.999 for TaqMan assays and −3.907 to −3.862 and R^2 = 0.997–0.998 for SYBR Green I assays. The optimized concentrations of the degenerate genus-specific (rpoB) primers (400 nM) and probe (200 nM) successfully detected DNA from all three ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ when tested separately in simplex qPCR. Diluted plasmid DNA (3.27 × 10^7 to 10^1, 2.71 × 10^1 to 10^1, 4.26 × 10^7 to 10^1 molecules/µl for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Li-

Fig. 3. Conventional polymerase chain reaction assay with genus-(rpoB*-upper panel) and species-(rplJ/rplK**-lower panels) specific primers using plasmid DNA diluted from 10^0 to 10^1 for detection of ‘Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’. M = 100-bp molecular marker.

Fig. 4. Conventional polymerase chain reaction assay with species-(rplJ/rplK) specific primer sets using positive plant DNA samples from different geographical areas for specificity of ‘Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus’ (LAS, 182 bp), ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’ (LAM, 174 bp), and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’ (LAF, 157 bp). Lane 1, 100-bp marker; lane 2, LAS sample from Taiwan (D495); lane 3, LAM sample from Brazil (B427); lane 4, LAF sample from South Africa (HMV5); lane 5, water sample (nontemplate control); lane 6, LAM sample from Brazil (B427); lane 7, LAS sample from Taiwan (D495); lane 8, LAF sample from South Africa (HMV5); lane 9, water sample (nontemplate control); lane 10, LAF sample from South Africa (HMV5); lane 11, LAS sample from Taiwan (D495); lane 12, LAM sample from Brazil (B427); lane 13, water sample (nontemplate control).

Fig. 5. Conventional polymerase chain reaction assay with genus-(rpoB) specific primers using both plant and psyllid DNA samples for detection of ‘Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus’ (LAS). Lanes 1 and 8, 100-bp marker; lane 2, LAS-positive citrus sample from Florida; lane 3, healthy plant sample; lane 4, water sample (nontemplate control); lane 5, LAS-positive psyllid sample; lane 6, healthy psyllid sample; lane 7, water sample (nontemplate control).
beribacter africanus’, respectively) was used to prepare a standard curve for species-specific primer and probe sets. A standard curve for the simplex qPCR with specific primer and probe sets from the (rplJ/rplK) gene regions also was obtained using SYBR Green I. There was a difference in Cq values between the two assay formats, but there was no difference in the endpoint dilution between SYBR Green I and primer/probe sets in TaqMan assays (Table 1). The slope and $R^2$ ranges of the TaqMan assays were –3.913 to –3.767 with $R^2 = 0.995–0.998$, whereas the slope and $R^2$ values of SYBR Green I assays were –3.679 to –3.637 with $R^2 = 0.989–0.998$. The species-specific primers used at 250 nM each and the probe at a concentration of 150 nM successfully detected all three species in simplex qPCR assays. The standard curve obtained in the multiplex TaqMan qPCR assay with the rplJ/rplK specific primers and probes also produced similar results to the species-specific simplex qPCR assay (Fig. 6). There was no difference between the simplex and multiplex assays in terms of the Cq values. In the multiplex PCR assay, the species-specific primers and probe concentrations were increased to 800 and 400 nM, respectively, for ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ and ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and 600 and 300 nM for ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’. No cross-amplifications with the three citrus ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ were found using the species-specific primers in either SYBR Green I or TaqMan assay formats. In addition, no amplifications were observed with DNA from the bacterial endophytes or other bacterial DNA samples or healthy plant/water samples (data not shown).

**Comparison of the three primers and probe sets in qPCR.**

The primers and probe sets (from the rpoB and rplJ/rplK gene sequences) used in this study successfully detected ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’, ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’, and ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’ in qPCR, as did assays with the 16S rDNA primers and probe (19). The Cq values for rpoB (genus-specific) and rplJ (species-specific) were consistently about 3 to 4 cycles higher and significantly different than the Cq values for 16S rDNA primers and probe for detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ (Fig. 7). However, the Cq values were generally not significantly different between the rpoB and rplJ primers and probe sets for detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’ and significantly different from the rpoB and rplJ primers and probe sets (Fig. 8). The Cq values for the rpoB primers and probe were lower (more sensitive) than the values for the rplJ primers and probe for ‘Ca. Liberibacter americanus’. All three primers and probe sets for ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’ produced similar results (Fig. 8). The degenerate genus-specific rpoB primers and probe showed lower Cq values than the species-specific primers and probe (rplK) for ‘Ca. Liberibacter africanus’. Comparison of the three primers and probe sets (16S rDNA/rpoBl/rplJ) for the detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ in single psyllids showed significantly lower Cq values with the 16S rDNA primers and probe followed by rpoB and rplJ primers and probe sets (Fig. 9). The degenerate genus-specific rpoB primers and probe assay was also able to detect ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ in extracts from tomato and carrot, but not potato plants, possibly due to a low level of bacteria present in the potato samples (Table 2). However, ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ was successfully detected in psyllids from an infectious potato psyllid colony. The Cq values of ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ specific qPCR results were compared with degenerate genus-specific rpoB primers and probe. qPCR results for ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ infected tomato and carrot samples differed by approximately 10 and 4 Cq values (Table 2).

**Discussion**

qPCR assays based on 16S RNA genes are widely used for the species-specific detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’ and the other species of Liberibacter associated with citrus. However, these assays cannot be multiplexed and were developed before the potential host range of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ was fully appreciated (19).
In this study, a \textit{rpoB} based primer and probe assay was developed for use in qPCR for the detection of the genus ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter’}. In addition, identification at the level of species was done with several novel multiplex qPCR assays utilizing (\textit{rplJ/rplK}) primers and probe sets. The presence of three different hybridization probes, one for each ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter} sp.’ allows for the first time, multiplexed species-specific identification of ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter spp.’}. In this study, we utilized the \textit{rpoB} gene region for the development of primers and probe for the detection of ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter spp.’} at the genus level. The \textit{rplJ/rplK} genes encode the L10 and L11 proteins which are components of the 50S subunit of the ribosome, and each is also present in a single copy per genome. We used these sequences for the development of primers and probes for the simultaneous detection and identification of ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter}’ at the species level. Recently, the sequence of \textit{rplJ} was used for characterization of the diversity of ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter species’/strains in Kenya (23).’}

‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter}’ DNA was amplified by conventional PCR assays using plasmid DNA templates and displayed specific gene products corresponding to their primer pairs. The genus-specific assay was capable of detecting target DNA from ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter} spp.’ when more than 4,000 target molecules were present in the assay mixture, whereas the species-specific assay was capable of detecting target DNA when about 40 target molecules were present in the assay mixture. Neither assay showed any cross reactivity, nor was there amplification of DNA from bacterial endophytes or other bacterial species. In qPCR, the standard curve generated from the 10-fold serial dilution of each species tested and the derived correlation coefficients demonstrated a good correlation between the \textit{Cq} values and template concentrations as well as reproducibility.
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\caption{Comparison of \textit{Cq} values obtained using 16S rDNA specific to that of ‘\textit{Candidatus Liberibacter americanus}’ (LAM), LAM-specific (\textit{rplU}) and genus-specific \textit{rpoB} primers and probes for detection of LAM. Comparison of \textit{Cq} values obtained using 16S rDNA specific to that of ‘\textit{Ca. Liberibacter africanus}’ (LAF), LAF specific (\textit{rplK}) and \textit{rpoB} primers and probes for detection of LAF. Samples 245, 246, and 386 are from Brazil. Samples HMV5 and HMV6 are from South Africa. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test at the 5\% level of significance.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Comparison of \textit{Cq} values obtained using 16S rDNA specific to that of ‘\textit{Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus}’ (LAS), LAS-specific (\textit{rplU}) and \textit{rpoB} primers and probes for detection of LAS in psyllids. Samples 1 to 16 are from psyllids that fed on LAS-infected plants. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test at the 5\% level of significance.}
\end{figure}
Standard curve analysis of the genus-specific assays (rpoB) showed no difference in terms of Cq values between qPCR assays run in either the SYBR Green I or TaqMan formats. The species-specific (rplJ/rplK) assay was more sensitive when run in the SYBR Green I than in the TaqMan format. The Cq values were not different when the assay was run in simplex or multiplex formats, demonstrating that the simultaneous amplification and detection of three products did not interfere with each other.

In order to compare the sensitivity of the new assays to a standard assay, the Cq values of the 16S rDNA ((19,20), rpoB, and rplJ/rplK primers and probes for detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ were compared. The standard 16S rDNA target gave significantly lower Cq values compared with the rpoB and rplJ/rplK gene targets for ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ This was probably due to the presence of three copies of the 16S rDNA target in ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ (9,16). As discussed above, the rpoB and rplJ/rplK genes are single-copy targets, and thus a higher Cq is expected. The genus-specific system also used degenerate primers which may give higher Cq values (less sensitive) when compared with sequence-specific primer pairs due to mismatch pairing. The advantage of assays such as these based on protein coding genes is improved specificity. The rpoB primers and probe set gave significantly better sensitivity (lower Cq) compared to rplJ/rplK primers and probe sets in some geographical samples tested for ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ Similar results were observed in extracts of psyllids for detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter asiaticus’. The rpoB primer and probe set also detected ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ from tomato, carrot, and psyllids in the qPCR assay and thus showed the broad application of the genus-specific Liberibacter primers. ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ was not detected in extracts of infected potatoes, perhaps due to low populations of the bacterium in planta and the efficiency of the degenerate primers. However, these factors did not prevent the detection of ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ in potato psyllids that fed on affected potato plants. The rpoB- and rplJ/rplK-based assays did not amplify DNA from healthy hosts, Liberibacter-free psyllids, or common bacterial endophytes. This is another favorable feature of this set of assays, since the 16S rDNA-based assay has been shown to generate Cq values in the upper 30s for a number of healthy controls (7).

In conclusion, this study shows that rpoB is a good molecular marker for the detection of Liberibacters, and is complementary to 16S rRNA. Primers and probe from the rpoB region were successfully designed, standardized, and used for the detection of all ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ from citrus at the genus level. In addition, the rpoB assay also detected ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ from tomatoes, carrots, and their respective psyllid vectors, further demonstrating the assay’s utility for detection and identification at the genus level. If the current described ‘Ca. Liberibacters’ are a subset of a larger population that exists in nature, additional ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ will be found using the rpoB primers. Thus, amplicons produced by our degenerate genus-specific primers may reveal currently unknown ‘Ca. Liberibacter species’ and should have utility in both research and regulatory arenas. This genus level assay is nicely complemented by an assay based on primers and probe for the rplJ/rplK region. This assay was successfully designed and validated for species-specific detection of the three ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ from citrus. This rplJ/rplK assay also was validated in a multiplex qPCR assay for species-specific identification of the citrus ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ These gene targets, like the widely used 16S rRNA amplification target, are members of the conserved core set of bacterial genes. Our assays provide a useful complement to the existing 16S rDNA based assays and provide new capabilities to identify ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ at the level of genus as well as simultaneous and multiplexed identification at the species level. The development of a multiplex assay for citrus species of Liberibacter is important in view of the current rapid dissemination of ‘Ca. Liberibacter spp.’ Two species of Liberibacter have been documented in Brazil and Reunion Island, France. There is every reason to expect that further distribution of existing species and the discovery of additional species will

### Table 2. Detection of ‘Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum’ in qPCR assays using primers and probe from the rpoB region of ‘Ca. Liberibacter’ in comparison with ‘Ca. L. solanacearum’ specific primers and probe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant/psyllid samples</th>
<th>Cq values obtained with the primers and probe from the rpoB region</th>
<th>Cq values obtained with the ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ specific primers and probe</th>
<th>Estimated target bacteria per μL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tomato 2</td>
<td>35.08</td>
<td>25.98</td>
<td>3.03E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato 3</td>
<td>37.02</td>
<td>27.49</td>
<td>1.07E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato 4</td>
<td>35.71</td>
<td>23.68</td>
<td>1.50E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato 5</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>9.94E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato 6</td>
<td>36.12</td>
<td>21.38</td>
<td>7.34E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato 7</td>
<td>38.57</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>4864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato 8</td>
<td>36.08</td>
<td>25.22</td>
<td>5.23E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot1 (Norway)</td>
<td>33.34</td>
<td>29.78</td>
<td>2182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot2 (Norway)</td>
<td>33.66</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>2155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot3 (Norway)</td>
<td>34.24</td>
<td>29.94</td>
<td>1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot4 (Norway)</td>
<td>33.34</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>2976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot5 (Sweden)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>29.07</td>
<td>3557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot6 (Sweden)</td>
<td>33.28</td>
<td>28.59</td>
<td>4982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot7 (Sweden)</td>
<td>34.97</td>
<td>30.38</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot8 (Sweden)</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>35.76</td>
<td>34.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra chip plant 1</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>33.96</td>
<td>120.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra chip plant 2</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>36.31</td>
<td>23.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra chip plant 3</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>34.45</td>
<td>85.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra chip plant 4</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>31.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra chip plant 5</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>21.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra chip plant 6</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>37.72</td>
<td>8.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra chip plant 7</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>39.17</td>
<td>3.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Ca. L. asiaticus’ infected citrus sample</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>N/AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy potato DNA</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water control</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The standard curve analysis with a R² of 0.999. It was obtained with a dilution of cloned target with the ‘Ca. Liberibacter solanacearum’ specific primer and probe set. Undetermined = no signal; N/A = not applicable.

* This was a natural sample, and therefore the target bacterial concentration was unknown. Used as a positive control for ‘Ca. L. asiaticus’.
continue to occur. These events can be efficiently documented by these new assays.
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