Household Detergent on Tomato: Phytotoxicity and Toxicity to Silverleaf Whitefly
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Abstract. Household detergents were evaluated in field studies on fresh-market tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) for insecticidal and phytotoxic effects. Laboratory bioassays were used to examine the toxicity of a household liquid dish detergent on small nymphs of silverleaf whitefly, Bemisia argentifolii Bellows and Perring. The detergents tested proved to be more toxic to whitefly nymphs than the commercial insecticidal soap. Detergent treatments were applied to tomato with a commercial high pressure hydraulic sprayer at 0%, 1%, 2%, 4%, and 8% (by volume) initially and at 0%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 1.0%, and 2.0% (by volume) in subsequent tests. As detergent rate, frequency of application, or both increased, plant dry weight accumulation and fruit yield decreased. Applying detergent also increased time to fruit maturity. A once-a-week application of 0.25% to 0.5% detergent initially applied 2 weeks after transplanting alleviated phytotoxicity and yield reduction problems.

Materials and Methods

Four field studies were conducted to determine phytotoxicity of household detergents on ‘Sunny’ tomato: one detergent rate screening trial on young transplants and three field studies taken to harvest. Meteorological data were recorded during all trials.

Nonsoap detergent insecticidal bioassay. The B. argentifolii (source: Dr. Daniel Bellows, Univ. of Florida, Southwest Florida Research and Education Center, Bradenton) used in this study was identified as B. tabaci ‘Biotype B’ in 1992 (T.M. Perring, Univ. of California at Riverside, personal communication) and as B. argentifolii in 1994 (A.C. Bartlett, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Phoenix; personal communication). The colony was maintained in an established greenhouse culture on potted tomato plants (‘Lanai’) grown in Metro-Mix 300 soilless medium (Grace Sierra Horticultural Products Co., Milpitas, Calif.) and fertilized with 12N–8P–6K slow-release fertilizer.

Whitefly-free tomato plants were placed in a greenhouse with a whitefly colony and infested with adults by agitating adjacent plants. After a 24-h oviposition period, the newly hatched nymphs that had either darkened or emerged from the eggs were collected and counted. Four replicates of each treatment were used. Mortality calculations were based on the number of nymphs that had either darkened or were detached from the leaf surfaces. Each treatment consisted of eight replicates, and the experiment was repeated three times. The lethal concentration of a material (50% 
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and K (67 kg-ha\(^{-1}\)) and all the P plus micronutrients were broadcast and then bedded over to a depth of 13 to 15 cm. The remaining N and K were applied in two narrow bands on top of the bed, 17 cm to either side of the center. The field was fumigated simultaneously with 314 kg 98% methyl bromide (2% chloropicrin)/ha, and the final bed was shaped. The beds then were covered with 0.04-mm-thick polyethylene mulch (black in spring, white-on-black in fall). The beds were 90-cm-wide, single rows on 1.8-m centers.

Tomatoes were transplanted 0.5 m apart in plots 12.2 m long in a randomized complete-block design with four replications in Fall 1991 and Spring 1992 and six replications in Fall 1992. Spray applications to the plots were made with atrailer-mounted, commercial high-pressure hydraulic sprayer (diaphragm pump) equipped with two drop lines, each fitted with a maximum of six ceramic hollow cone tips (Albuz ATR Red delivering \(\approx 1.6 \text{ liters-min}^{-1}\) at 887 kPa) calibrated at 580 to 784 liters-ha\(^{-1}\) or 572 to 878 liters-ha\(^{-1}\). To ensure continued coverage as plant height increased, spray volumes were increased by adding nozzles.

Based on the results of the screening trial, detergent concentrations were reduced to \(\leq 2\%\) in the field trials. Phytotoxicity often was not visible in these trials; thus, decreases in plant dry weight and yield were used as a sign of phytotoxicity.

Shoot dry weight biomass was determined for one randomly chosen plant per plot at 2-week intervals after planting for 2 to 8 weeks in Fall 1991 and 2 to 10 weeks in Spring 1992. Harvested fruit were separated into red and green categories by medium, large, and extra-large size classifications according to specifications defined by the Florida Tomato Exchange (Hawkins, 1994). Assessments of plant dry weight (Fall 1991 only), early and total marketable fruit weight, and mean fruit weight were analyzed by regression analysis for Fall 1991 and analysis of variance, with mean separation using Fisher’s least significant difference, for Spring 1992 and Fall 1992 (SAS Institute, 1988).

**Fall 1991.** Transplants were set 9 Sept. New Day liquid detergent at five concentrations [0%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 1%, and 2% (v/v)] was sprayed foliarly either once or twice a week beginning 1 week after planting and continuing for 12 weeks. Fruit were harvested on 19 Dec. 1991 and 3 and 13 Jan. 1992.

**Spring 1992.** Tomatoes were transplanted on 5 May and 1 June. Seedlings were transplanted on 29 Sept. The first detergent treatments were applied 2 weeks after transplanting. This modification allowed an additional week for crop establishment to enhance the plants’ ability to resist phytotoxicity. Tide liquid concentrations of 0%, 0.25%, and 1.0% (v/v) were sprayed once a week for 12 weeks. The Florida grower standard commercial application, an \(\alpha\)-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl \(2,2,3,3\)-detergent concentrations were reduced to \(\leq 2\%\) in the field trials. Phytotoxicity often was not visible in these trials; thus, decreases in plant dry weight and yield were used as a sign of phytotoxicity.

Shoot dry weight biomass was determined for one randomly chosen plant per plot at 2-week intervals after planting for 2 to 8 weeks in Fall 1991 and 2 to 10 weeks in Spring 1992. Harvested fruit were separated into red and green categories by medium, large, and extra-large size classifications according to specifications defined by the Florida Tomato Exchange (Hawkins, 1994). Assessments of plant dry weight (Fall 1991 only), early and total marketable fruit weight, and mean fruit weight were analyzed by regression analysis for Fall 1991 and analysis of variance, with mean separation using Fisher’s least significant difference, for Spring 1992 and Fall 1992 (SAS Institute, 1988).

**Fall 1991.** Transplants were set 9 Sept. New Day liquid detergent at five concentrations [0%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 1%, and 2% (v/v)] was sprayed foliarly either once or twice a week beginning 1 week after planting and continuing for 12 weeks. Fruit were harvested on 19 Dec. 1991 and 3 and 13 Jan. 1992.

**Spring 1992.** Tomatoes were transplanted on 5 May and 1 June. Seedlings were transplanted on 29 Sept. The first detergent treatments were applied 2 weeks after transplanting. This modification allowed an additional week for crop establishment to enhance the plants’ ability to resist phytotoxicity. Tide liquid concentrations of 0%, 0.25%, and 1.0% (v/v) were sprayed once a week for 12 weeks. The Florida grower standard commercial application, an \(\alpha\)-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl \(2,2,3,3\)-detergent concentrations were reduced to \(\leq 2\%\) in the field trials. Phytotoxicity often was not visible in these trials; thus, decreases in plant dry weight and yield were used as a sign of phytotoxicity.

Shoot dry weight biomass was determined for one randomly chosen plant per plot at 2-week intervals after planting for 2 to 8 weeks in Fall 1991 and 2 to 10 weeks in Spring 1992. Harvested fruit were separated into red and green categories by medium, large, and extra-large size classifications according to specifications defined by the Florida Tomato Exchange (Hawkins, 1994). Assessments of plant dry weight (Fall 1991 only), early and total marketable fruit weight, and mean fruit weight were analyzed by regression analysis for Fall 1991 and analysis of variance, with mean separation using Fisher’s least significant difference, for Spring 1992 and Fall 1992 (SAS Institute, 1988).

**Fall 1991.** Transplants were set 9 Sept. New Day liquid detergent at five concentrations [0%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 1%, and 2% (v/v)] was sprayed foliarly either once or twice a week beginning 1 week after planting and continuing for 12 weeks. Fruit were harvested on 19 Dec. 1991 and 3 and 13 Jan. 1992.

**Spring 1992.** Tomatoes were transplanted on 5 May and 1 June. Seedlings were transplanted on 29 Sept. The first detergent treatments were applied 2 weeks after transplanting. This modification allowed an additional week for crop establishment to enhance the plants’ ability to resist phytotoxicity. Tide liquid concentrations of 0%, 0.25%, and 1.0% (v/v) were sprayed once a week for 12 weeks. The Florida grower standard commercial application, an \(\alpha\)-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl \(2,2,3,3\)-
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Table 1. Dosage response for first instar nymphs of silver leaf whitefly (Bemesia argentifolii) to New Day liquid detergent and M-Pede insecticidal soap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion(^a)</th>
<th>New Day(^b)</th>
<th>M-Pede(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(LC_{90}) (%)</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI (LC_{90})</td>
<td>0.055 to 0.096</td>
<td>0.110 to 0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LC_{90}) (%)</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI (LC_{90})</td>
<td>0.402 to 0.752</td>
<td>0.577 to 1.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Slopes for once-a-week and twice-a-week spray treatments within the sample period were significantly different (\(t\) test, \(P < 0.05\)).

\(^b\)Data cited were from separate studies.
Results and Discussion

Insecticidal bioassay. Although New Day contaminated about half the active ingredient of M-Pede insecticidal soap, it was twice as toxic to young whitefly nymphs. The concentration of New Day necessary to kill 50% of the treated population (LC50) was 51% less than the LC50 value for M-Pede insecticidal soap (Table 1). LC50 values differed signiﬁcantly at >95% probability, as indicated by the lack of overlap in conﬁdence limits. The LC50 value for New Day was 62% of the value for M-Pede, further demonstrating the greater toxicity of New Day. These results indicated that New Day could be used at slightly more than half the labeled rate of M-Pede with the same effect on young nymphs, the lifestage most susceptible to this product (Li and Stanly, 1995).

Field rate screening (Summer 1991). Tomato seedlings sprayed with concentrations of Tide liquid detergent at ≥1% were severely injured. Concentrations of 4% and 8% detergent killed >80% of plants after the first application. Only plants sprayed with 1% detergent survived all six spray applications. Final plant dry weights were not analyzed due to excessive plant loss but were ranked as follows: control > 1% > 2% > 4%, 8% detergent (6.38 g/plant > 1.78 g > 0.64 g > 0.0, respectively). Temperatures were relatively high during the test and averaged 32.8°C at application (range 30 to 35°C). Excessive heat and humidity likely contributed to the high levels of phytotoxicity. These data indicated that, under conditions of this test, the detergent concentration needed to be <1% to reduce phytotoxicity.

Field application (Fall 1991). Based on screening study results, New Day liquid detergent was used at 0%, 0.25%, 0.5%, 1.0%, and 2.0% in Fall 1991. Reductions in tomato plant dry weight at these rates first were detected 4 weeks after planting (Fig. 1). A significant negative linear weight reduction (P ≤ 0.05) with increasing concentration was evident at this time and became more pronounced in weeks 6 and 8. Greater reduction of dry matter accumulation with more-frequent spray application (once vs. twice a week) was first detected at week 6. Visible signs of phytotoxicity were evident as marginal leaf necrosis on plants sprayed with ≥0.5% detergent.

At first harvest, fruit yield decreased with an increase in detergent concentration (P ≤ 0.05), with significantly greater yield losses occurring on plants sprayed twice a week (Fig. 2). Detergent at 1% applied twice a week reduced yield 53.9% compared to the control, whereas 0.25% detergent applied at the same frequency reduced yield only 10%.

First-harvest yield reduction trends due to detergent rate and frequency tended to reverse in subsequent harvests (data not shown). This appeared to be a compensatory response to delayed maturity. That is, fruit were present at first harvest, but simply had not sized due to reduced growth caused by phytotoxicity.

Second-harvest yields were reduced only with detergent applications twice a week (data not shown). Yield was not affected by rate or frequency at third harvest (data not shown). Harvest totals, however, showed that increasing detergent concentration decreased yield; yield losses were greater when detergents were applied twice weekly (Fig. 2). Yield loss could be attributed, at least partially, to a nonsapon detergent-induced reduction in fruit weight when compared to the control (Fig. 3). At first harvest and in the combined harvest, fruit weight declined steadily (P ≤ 0.05) with increasing detergent concentration. No effect of detergent concentration on fruit weight was detected at the second or third harvest (data not shown). Significant differences in fruit weight attributable to frequency of detergent application were not detectable at any harvest.

Spring 1992. In this study, detergent at 0.25% or 0.5% did not consistently affect tomato plant biomass or fruit yield. However, a significant reduction in plant dry-matter accumulation at 8 weeks was evident when spray frequency of application was twice weekly compared to once weekly with New Day (111 g/plant vs. 141 g/plant; P ≤ 0.01). This trend was consistent with observations made in the Fall 1991 study.

Excessive rains occurred throughout the spring season (24 cm) and may have minimized the phytotoxic effect of detergent in this study. Rainfall in the first 30 days (104 mm) often fell within hours of application on five occasions (three times with once-a-week applications, two times with twice-a-week applications). Additionally, spring temperatures (compared to higher fall temperatures) may have moderated the phytotoxic effect.

Fall 1992. By delaying Tide liquid spray application for 2 weeks to allow further plant establishment, tomato yield disparity as a function of concentration and frequency (as seen in Fall 1991) was greatly reduced. No treatment differences were noted in early or total yield or in average fruit weight when a sufﬁcient establishment period was allotted (data not shown).

Tide liquid inﬂuenced tomato maturity and extra-large size production at first harvest compared to the control (Table 2). Control plants produced proportionally more extra-large red fruit and more total red fruit at ﬁrst harvest than Tide liquid at 0.25% or 1.0%. Commercially, red fruit is often discarded as it may be injured in transit; however, it is a true indicator of fruit maturity. The tendency of the unsprayed plants to have greater quantities of red fruit indicates that they reached maturity sooner than sprayed plants. This trend mirrored the delayed maturity seen in Fall 1991 with increasing detergent concentrations. The conventional insecticide spray likewise retarded fruit sizing.

In these studies, increasing the detergent spray concentration (0.25% to 2%) resulted in lower plant biomass and decreased fruit yields. However, modifications in application strat-
egy helped minimize the effect of the sprays. Where the application of detergent was delayed for 2 weeks, phytotoxicity during early plant growth was reduced, and resultant tomato yields were similar to those for plants sprayed with water alone. Rainy weather also minimized phytotoxicity. No determination of insecticidal efficacy under rain events was assessed; therefore, this factor may need to be researched for SLWF control.

Yield loss from detergent-induced phytotoxicity was most pronounced at first harvest, which is generally the harvest of greatest economic return. The benefit of economical whitefly suppression may outweigh the yield loss expected at first harvest when using detergents. Also, detergent delays maturity, regardless of concentration, frequency, or time of application.

We believe the 1% solution recommended by Yepsen (1984) and used by Butler et al. (1993) for the control of soft-bodied insects is inappropriate for high-pressure hydraulic commercial application in tomatoes. Based on our findings, growers should delay detergent spraying for 2 weeks (or longer) after transplanting, and then use 0.25% to 0.5% (v/v) at a frequency of one spray per week. If whitefly infestations increase to economically harmful levels during the 2- to 3-week establishment period, more effective insecticides may be necessary.

Table 2. Effect of Tide liquid concentration on ‘Sunny’ tomato red fruit yield at first harvest, Fall 1992.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Tomato yield (kg/plant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extra large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (water)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tide liquid (0.25%)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tide liquid (1.0%)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenpropathin/ methamidophos + endosulfan</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD_{0.05}</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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